

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 TIMOTHY ALEXANDER,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES GYPSUM COMPANY,

12 Defendant.
13
14

NO. C18-0810RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME

15 This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant’s Motion for Extension of Time in
16 Which to File Answer to Complaint.” Dkt. # 8. The motion is DENIED as moot. When, as is the
17 case here, a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 is filed, the deadline for a responsive
18 pleading is automatically extended until fourteen days after the Court resolves the motion. Fed.
19 R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4). See also Talbot v. Sentinel Ins. Co., Ltd., 2012 WL 1068763 at *4 (D. Nev.
20 Mar. 29, 2012).
21
22

23 Dated this 19th day of June, 2018.

24 

25 Robert S. Lasnik
26 United States District Judge
27

28 ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1